

### **Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation -2020**



นพ. ธรณิศ จันทรารัตน์ รพ. พระมงกุฎเกล้า



# Scope of presentation

- Significance of problem
- Strategies to reduce POAF
- Guidelines and recommendation



# Atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery

- is the most common postoperative complication following cardiac surgical procedures
- 25% after isolated coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG)
- 30% after isolated valvular procedures
- 40– 50% following combination CABG/valvular operations
- remained largely unchanged despite contemporaneous improvements in cardiac surgery-associated morbidity and mortality



- 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) or a rhythm strip of at least 30-s duration that demonstrates
- (1) irregular RR intervals in the absence of complete AV block
- (2) an absence of distinct P waves on surface ECG
- (3) an atrial cycle length that is variable and generally less than 200 ms



### Lewis Leads







# AF and MS





# Risk of POAF (perioperative)

- pre-existing risk factors for atrial dilation including hypertension, myocardial ischemia, and valvular abnormalities such as mitral regurgitation.
- direct surgical trauma associated with atriotomy incisions and pericardial disruption —-> local inflammation and subsequent alterations in atrial electrical excitability.
- cardiopulmonary by-pass, the atria can remain electrically active despite sufficient cardioplegia administration for ventricular electrical arrest—->continuing activity may predispose the atria to ischemia and subsequent arrhythmias



# Risk of POAF (Postoperative)

- Large fluid shifts perioperatively and electrolyte disturbances.
- increased endogenous catecholamines, inflammatory and oxidative mediators secondary to surgical stress and the systemic response to cardiopulmonary bypass.
- use of exogenous catecholamines for inotropic support, and variations in both intravascular volume status and systemic blood pressure leading to changes in atrial stretch and myocardial perfusion.



# How to predict POAF

#### **Phase of POAF**

- The first phase encompasses the first 18 h postoperatively with the greatest risk at hour zero
- the second phase occurs with the risk peaking at 24–48 hrs
- The most consistent independent risk factor across multiple studies has been increasing patient age
- consistent reproducibility of factors between studies has been lacking
- significant heterogeneity in the literature regarding how POAF is defined, identified, and reported



# **Outcomes of POAF**



#### Perioperative/Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation and Risk of Subsequent Stroke and/or Mortality

#### A Meta-Analysis

Meng-Hsin Lin, MD; Hooman Kamel, MD; Daniel E. Singer, MD; Yi-Ling Wu, DrPH; Meng Lee, MD; Bruce Ovbiagele, MD, MS

	Population Characteristics	Sample Size (Women, %)	Age, y POAF/No. POAF	Percentage of Cardiac Surgery	Study Duration, y	End Points	
Hogue et al,** United States	CABG and valve surgery						
Stamou et al. <sup>30</sup> United States	Isolated CABG						
Villareal et al,º United States	Isolated CABG						
Nielsen et al. <sup>21</sup> United States	Lung transplantation	Fa	rly outo	omo		voro dofi	and as stroke or mortality occurring within 30
Kalavrouziotis et al, <sup>22</sup> Canada	Isolated CABG or concomitant CABG and valve surgery	La	ily outc	June	:3 VV	le uen	
Mariscalco and Engström, <sup>23</sup> Sweden	Cardiothoracic surgery	da	<b>IVS</b> of c	opera	atio	n.	
Mariscalco et al. <sup>34</sup> Italy	Isolated CABG			•			
Ahlsson et al. <sup>35</sup> Sweden	First isolated CABG						
Filardo et al. <sup>36</sup> United States	First isolated CABG						
Bramer et al,4 the Netherlands	First isolated CABG						
Filardo et al, <sup>29</sup> United States	without CABG	Lat	te outco	ome	s we	ere defii	ed as stroke or mortality occurring after 30 days
El-Chami et al, <sup>38</sup> United States	Isolated CABG						
Ahisson et al, <sup>27</sup> Sweden	First isolated CABG						
Ahisson et al, <sup>17</sup> Sweden Bramer et al, <sup>1</sup> the Netherlands	Mitral valve repain/replacement with/without CABG or tricuspid valve surgery						
Ahisson et al, <sup>17</sup> Sweden Bramer et al, <sup>1</sup> the Netherlands Tarakj et al, <sup>10</sup> United States	First solated CABG     Mitral valve repair/replacement     with/without CABG or tricuspid     valve surgery     Primary isolated or reparative     CABG	a	67/63				
Ahlsson et al, <sup>17</sup> Sweden Bramer et al, <sup>1</sup> the Netherlands Tarakij et al, <sup>30</sup> United States Bhave et al, <sup>12</sup> United States	Prist isolated CABC Mitral valve repain/replacement with/without CABG or throughd valve surgery Primary isolated or reparative CABG Major noncardiac surgery	45	67/63 74.6±10.6/62.4±16.2	0	1	In-hospital mortality	
Ahtson et al. <sup>12</sup> Sweden Bramer et al. <sup>1</sup> the Netherlands Tarakij et al. <sup>40</sup> Linited States Brave et al. <sup>12</sup> United States Almassi ot al. <sup>12</sup> United States	First solated CARE     Mitral valve repaintreplacement     with without CARE or thousaid     valve surgery     Primary solated or reparative     CARE     Major noncardiae surgery     Isorated CARE	370447 (57) 2103 (NA)	67/63 74.6±10.6/62.4±16.2 65.3±8.5/61.6±8.2	0	1	In-hospital mortality	
Ahisson et al." Sweden Bramer et al.' the Netherlands Tarakij et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Bhave et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Almassi et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Saxena et al. <sup>10</sup> Australia	First isolated CARE     Mitral valve repaintreplacement     with without CARE or thousaid     valve surgery     Primary isolated or reparative     CARE     Major noncardiac surgery     Isolated CARE     Isolated CARE	45	67.63 74.6±10.6/62.4±16.2 65.3±8.5/61.6±8.2 68.0±9.0/64.0±10.7	0 100 100	1 1 3.7	In-hospital mortality Long-term mortality Early stoke and mortality, long-term mortality	
Ahisson et al. <sup>12</sup> Sweden Bramer et al. <sup>1</sup> The Netherlands Tarakij et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Bhave et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Almassi et al. <sup>11</sup> United States Saxena et al. <sup>10</sup> Australia Importatori et al. <sup>10</sup> Italy	First solated CARE     Mitral valve repaintreplacement     with without CARE or through the surgery     Primary solated or reparative     CARE     Major noncardiae surgery     Isorated CARE     Isorated CARE     Isorated CARE     Elective pulmonary lobectomy	370447 (57) 2103 (NA) 19497 (NA) 454 (19)	67/63 74.6±10.6/62.4±16.2 65.3±8.5/61.6±8.2 69.0±9.0/64.0±10.7 68.6±6.8/65.0±8.9	0 100 100 0	1 1 3.7 3	In-hospital mortality Long-term mortality Early stoke and mortality. Long-term mortality Long-term mortality	
Ahisson et al. <sup>17</sup> Sweden Bramer et al. <sup>1</sup> the Netterlands Tarski et al. <sup>30</sup> United States Bhave et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Almassi et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Sacena et al. <sup>10</sup> Australia Importatori et al. <sup>10</sup> (lange	First isolated CABG     Minal valve repaining/bacement     with without CABG or through the     valve surgery     indexed or reparative     CABG     Major noncardiac surgery     Isolated CABG     Isolated CABG     Elective pulmonary lobectomy     First isolated CABG	45	67/63 74.6±10.6/62.4±16.2 66.3±8.5/61.6±8.2 60.3±9.0/64.0±10.7 68.6±6.8/66.0±8.9 NA/NA	0 100 100 0 100	1 1 3.7 3 5.7	In-hespital motality Long-term motality Early sinke and motality: long-term motality Long-term motality Long-term stoke of motality	
Ahisson et al. <sup>17</sup> Sweden Bramer et al. <sup>1</sup> the Netherlands Tarakij et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Bhave et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Aimassi et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Saxena et al. <sup>10</sup> Australia Importatori et al. <sup>10</sup> Italy Horwich et al. <sup>10</sup> Ganada O'Neal et al. <sup>10</sup> United States	First isolated CABG Minv uke repair/setassmenc with/without CABG or trousdd valve surgeny CABG Major noncardiae surgeny Isolated CABG Isolated CABG Elective putmonary lobectomy First isolated CABG First isolated CABG First isolated CABG	370.447 (57) 2103 (NA) 19.497 (NA) 454 (19) 8058 (25) 13.165 (30)	67/63 74.6±10.6/62.4±16.2 65.3±8.5/61.6±8.2 69.0±9.0/64.0±10.7 68.6±6.8/65.0±8.9 NA/NA 66±9.3 (Biack PDAF)/65±9.0 (White PDAF)/65±9.0 (White PDAF)/65±9.0 (White PDAF)/65±9.0 (White	0 100 100 100 100	1 1 3.7 3 5.7 8.2	In-hospital mortality Long-term mortality Early stroke and mortality, long-term mortality Long-term mortality Long-term mortality Long-term mortality	
Ahisson et al. <sup>12</sup> Sweden Bremer et al. <sup>1</sup> the Netherlands Tarakij et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Bhave et al. <sup>12</sup> United States Amassi et al. <sup>12</sup> United States Saxona et al. <sup>12</sup> Australia Imperatori et al. <sup>12</sup> Hitley Horwich et al. <sup>12</sup> United States	First isolated CABG	13-00-00 370-447 (57) 2103 (NA) 19-497 (NA) 454 (19) 8058 (25) 13 165 (30) 49 264 (29)	67/63 74.6±10.6/62.4±16.2 65.3±8.5/61.6±8.2 68.0±9.0/64.0±10.7 68.6±6.8/66.0±8.9 NAMA 666±9.3 (Biatck POAF)(65±9.0 (White POAF)(65±9.0 (White POAF)) 69±10/63±11	0 100 100 100 100 100 100	1 1 3.7 3 5.7 8.2 12	In-hespital mortality Long-term mortality Early stoke and mortality: long-term mortality: Long-term mortality Long-term mortality Long-term mortality Early mortality	
Ahisson et al. <sup>17</sup> Sweden Bramer et al. <sup>1</sup> the Nettherlands Tarskij et al. <sup>30</sup> United States Bhave et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Saxona et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Saxona et al. <sup>10</sup> Australia Importatori et al. <sup>10</sup> Italy Horwich et al. <sup>10</sup> Canada O'Neal et al. <sup>30</sup> United States United States Whitock et al. <sup>10</sup> Canada	First isolated CABG First	370.447 (57) 2103 (NA) 19.497 (NZ) 454 (19) 8058 (25) 13.165 (30) 49.254 (29) 10.8711 (26)	67/63 74.6±10.6/62.4±16.2 65.3±8.5/61.6±8.2 68.0±9.0/64.0±10.7 68.6±6.8/65.0±8.9 NA/NA 66±9.3 (Back PDAF)/65±9.0 (White PDAF)/65±9.0 (White PDAF)/65±10 (No PDAF) 68±10/63±11 Percentage of agp >85:555/445%	0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	1 1 3.7 3 5.7 8.2 12 2	In-hospital mortality Long-term mortality Early stoke and mortality stoke and mortality eterm mortality Long-term mortality Long-term mortality Early mortality Early and iong-term stroke	
Ahisson et al. <sup>14</sup> Sweden Bramer et al. <sup>1</sup> the Nettherlands Tarakij et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Bhave et al. <sup>10</sup> United States Sacona et al. <sup>10</sup> Linited States Sacona et al. <sup>10</sup> Australia Importatori et al. <sup>10</sup> Italy Horwich et al. <sup>10</sup> Italy Horwich et al. <sup>10</sup> United States United States Conceal et al. <sup>10</sup> United States States States	First isolated CABG First	370.447 (57) 2103 (MA) 19.497 (MA) 454 (19) 8055 (25) 13.165 (30) 49.254 (29) 10.8711 (25) 10.8711 (25)	67/63 74.6±10.6/62.4±16.2 65.3±8.5/61.6±8.2 68.0±9.0/64.0±10.7 68.6±6.8/65.0±8.9 NA/NA 66±9.3 (Black PDAF/08±9.0 (White PDAF/08±9.0 (White PDAF)/85±9.0 (White PDAF) 69±10/63±11 Percentage of age >65:68%/48% 71.5/65.2	0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 4.2	1 1 3.7 3 5.7 8.2 12 2.1	Early server In-hospital mortality Long-term mortality Early stoke and mortality: Long-term mortality Long-term mortality Long-term mortality Early mortality Early mortality Early mortality Early and long-term stroke	



# Early Outcomes-Stroke and Mortality

		PO	AF	Nol	POAF		Odds Ratio		Odds	Ratio	
Author	Year	Events	Population	Events	Population	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Rand	om, 95% Cl	
1.1.1 Early Stroke										1	
Hogue <sup>19</sup>	1999	NA	1022	NA	1950	3.6%	1.70 [1.00, 2.89]			<u> </u>	
Stamou <sup>20</sup>	2001	NA	NA	NA	NA	27.0%	1.70 [1.40, 2.06]			-8-	
Villareal <sup>8</sup>	2004	30	994	82	5481	5.1%	2.01 [1.28, 3.15]				*
Kalavrouziotis22	2007	57	2047	64	5300	5.3%	1.77 [1.14, 2.75]				
Saxena <sup>13</sup>	2012	73	5547	94	13950	9.2%	1.80 [1.29, 2.51]				
Whitlock <sup>10</sup>	2014	NA	18046	NA	81091	4 c+	a lua			-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		160	27656	240	107772	10 Str	оке			•	
Heterogeneity: Tau	<sup>2</sup> = 0.00; C	hi² = 2.81,	df = 5 (P = 0.7	3); I <sup>2</sup> = 0%							
Test for overall effe	ct: Z = 9.40	(P < 0.00)	001)							1	
1.1.2 Early Mortalit	y										
Villareal <sup>8</sup>	2004	74	994	186	5481	13.5%	1.70 [1.22, 2.37]				
Nielsen <sup>21</sup>	2004	39	78	28	122	5.0%	5.70 [2.10, 15.47]				$\longrightarrow$
Kalavrouziotis <sup>22</sup>	2007	61	2047	133	5300	14.3%	0.80 [0.60, 1.07]		-	+	
Bramer <sup>4</sup>	2010	35	1122	64	3976	11.3%	1.38 [0.87, 2.19]			<b></b>	
Bramer <sup>5</sup>	2011	25	361	35	495	8.7%	0.75 [0.40, 1.41]			+	
Bhave <sup>32</sup>	2012	570	10957	6646	359490	16.8%	1.68 [1.52, 1.86]			+	
Saxena <sup>13</sup>	2012	95	5547	170	13950	14.4					
LaPar <sup>36</sup>	2014	370	9255	680	40009	16.1	/lortality			-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		1269	30361	7942	428823	100.				-	
Heterogeneity: Tau	<sup>2</sup> = 0.11; C	hi² = 53.34	, df = 7 (P < 0.	00001); l²	= 87%						
Test for overall effe	ct: Z = 2.72	P = 0.007	7)						*		
								i i			
								0.1 0.2	0.5	1 2	5 10

Stroke. 2019;50:1364-1371

Reduced risk Increased risk



### Longterm outcomes-Stroke and Mortality

		PO	AF	No	POAF		Hazard Ratio	Hazard	Ratio
Author	Year	Events	Population	Events	Population	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Rando	om, 95% Cl
2.1.1 Long-term stroke									1
Horwich 33	2013	337	2214	581	5844	24.8%	1.26 [1.08, 1.47]	N I I	-8-
Whitlock <sup>10</sup>	2014	NA	18046	NA	81091	26.3%	1.10 [1.00, 1.21]		e-
Gialdini, non-cardiac <sup>9</sup>	2014	189	12874	5915	1642943	24.5%	2.00 [1.70, 2.35]		
Gialdini, cardiac <sup>9</sup>	2014	117	11837	512	61706	24 496	1 30 /1 10 1 541		
Subtotal (95% CI)		643	44971	7008	17				◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.0	6; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 38	8.77, df = 3	(P < 0.00001)	; P= 92%	Str	oke			
Fest for overall effect: Z =	2.45 (P = 0	.01)							
									1
2.1.2 Long-term mortalit	у								
Mariscalco <sup>24</sup>	2008	73	570	122	1262	1.8%	2.56 [1.50, 4.37]		
ilardo <sup>26</sup>	2009	452	1814	753	5085	8.0%	1.29 [1.16, 1.43]		-
Ahlsson <sup>25</sup>	2009	140	419	191	1000	5.0%	1.56 [1.23, 1.98]		
ilardo <sup>29</sup>	2010	110	380	112	659	4.3%	1.48 [1.12, 1.96]		
Bramer <sup>4</sup>	2010	168	1122	398	3976	4.3%	1.35 [1.02, 1.79]		<u> </u>
Ahlsson <sup>27</sup>	2010	49	165	60	406	2.7%	1.57 [1.05, 2.35]		
El-Chami <sup>28</sup>	2010	1701	2985	4878	13184	8.6%	1.21 [1.12, 1.31]		+
Bramer <sup>5</sup>	2011	65	361	45	495	2.4%	2.09 [1.34, 3.26]		
mperatori 12	2012	31	45	270	409	2.5%	1.17 [0.76, 1.80]	_	<u>+</u>
O'Neal, White <sup>34</sup>	2013	1080	2537	2332	8330	9.1%	1.10 [1.06, 1.14]		-
Horwich 33	2013	693	2214	1255	5844	8.0%	1.20 [1.08, 1.33]		+
O'Neal, Black <sup>34</sup>	2013	171	370	446	1928	6.9%	1.40 [1.20, 1.63]		
Thoren <sup>37</sup>	2014	381	2152	548	4669	8.6%	1.40 [1.30, 1.51]		-
N-Shaar <sup>35</sup>	2014	884	1211	2751	5094	6.4%	1.25 [1.05, 1.49]		
fulla <sup>14</sup>	2015	46	138	26	138	0.4%	1.83 [0.55, 6.11]	33	<u> </u>
delduni <sup>38</sup>	2015	112	226	119	377	4.6%	1.79 [1.38, 2.32]		
<othari<sup>40</othari<sup>	2016	68	554	584	14594	2.8%	1.56 [1.05, 2.32]		
Omer <sup>41</sup>	2016	82	215	320	1033	1.6%	1.40 [0.80, 2.45]		<u> </u>
_eibowitz 44	2017	9	15	73	395	0.4%	6.70 [2.10, 21.37]		│
_ee, female <sup>43</sup>	2017	9	79	10	314	0.4%	3.96 [1.13, 13.88]		
engsrud <sup>42</sup>	2017	105	165	191	406	5.1%	1.28 [1.01, 1.62]		<u></u>
Swinkels <sup>15</sup>	2017	169	241	231	220	5.00	1 22 10 00 1 50		<u> </u>
Lee, male <sup>43</sup>	2017	7	102	5	N / -	set all	+	- \	
Subtotal (95% CI)		6605	18080	15720		ortall	ιy		•
Heterogeneity: Tau* = 0.0	2; Chi# = 91	.67, df = 22	2 (P < 0.00001	1); I <sup>2</sup> = 769	6				
Fest for overall effect: Z =	7.89 (P < 0	.00001)							
		1999-1999-1999-1999-1999-1999-1999-199							5 15 16 L 658
							1		
								Beduced rick	Increased risk
								I COULCUIISA	Interedacy has

Stroke. 2019;50:1364-1371



Journal of the American Heart Association

#### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

New-Onset Atrial Fibrillation After Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting and Long-Term Outcome: A Population-Based Nationwide Study From the SWEDEHEART Registry



J Am Heart Assoc. 2020;9:e017966



# Crude Event Rates After First-Time Isolated CABG

Outcomes	POAF Event Rate	No POAF Event Rate	Unadjusted HR (95% Cl)	Adjusted* HR (95% Cl)	P Value
All-cause mortality	2.9	2.1	1.42 (1.31–1.54)	1.08 (0.98–1.18	0.106
Ischemic stroke	1.8	1.3	1.40 (1.26–1.5 <mark>Ische</mark> i	mic Stroke	0.004
Peripheral arterial embolism	0.1	0.1	1.00 (0.65–1.55)	0.79 (0.50–1.23	0.293
Transitory ischemic attack	0.8	0.6	1.29 (1.11–1.51)	1.10 (0.94–1.30)	0.237
Any thromboembolism	2.4	1.8	1.37 (1.25–1.51)	1.16 (1.05–1.28	0.003
Heart failure	2.1	1.1	1.80 (1.62–1.9 <mark>Heart</mark>	failure	<0.001
Recurrent AF	4.0	0.8	4.63 (4.20–5.09)	4.16 (3.76–4.60	<0.001
Pulmonary embolism	0.3	0.2	1.46 (1.13–1.89)	1.26 (0.95–1.67)	0.106
Major bleeding	2.0	1.6	1.30 (1.18–1.43)	1.05 (0.95–1.17)	0.337



J Am Heart Assoc. 2020;9:e017966



# Outcomes of OAC

Outcomes	Event Rate With OAC	Event Rate Without OAC	Unadjusted HR Adjusted* HR (95% (95% CI) OAC vs No-OAC OAC vs no-OAC		<i>P</i> Value
All-cause mortality	3.0	2.8	1.08 (0.00 1.00)	0.00/0.70 1.00	0.257
Ischemic stroke	2.0	1.7	1.13 ( <b>isc</b>	hemic stroke	0.625
Any thromboembolism	2.6	2.4	1.10 (0.02 1.02,		0.942
Transitory ischemic attack	0.9	0.8	1.09 (0.81–1.49)	0.97 (0.58–1.63)	0.915
Pulmonary embolism	0.2	0.3	0.75 (0.42–1.34)	0.50 (0.22–1.13)	0.096
Major bleeding	2.4	1.9	1.26 (1.04–1.52)	1.40 (1.08–1.82)	0.011



## Oral Anticoagulation



*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2020;9:e017966



**Circulation** 

#### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**

#### Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation and Long-Term Risk of Stroke After Isolated Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery

#### **Clinical Perspective**

#### What Is New?

- Postoperative atrial fibrillation (pAF) after coronary artery bypass grafting is independently associated with a higher risk of cerebrovascular accidents at 10 years.
- The association between pAF and risk of cerebrovascular accident persists when cerebrovascular accidents that occurred before discharge are excluded.
- pAF is also independently associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality.

#### What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Our findings highlight the need to revisit the notion that pAF is a transient, benign condition.
- Patients with pAF after coronary artery bypass grafting should be considered for stricter surveillance with continuous heart rhythm monitoring and anticoagulation therapy in those at very high risk (ie, CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score ≥4).



### Stroke





### Mortality





### CHADSVASC score





# How to prevent POAF?



#### Preventative Strategies and Associated Evidence Base

#### **Postoperative triggers:**

Pericardial and systemic inflammation atrial injury, cardiac autonomic nervous system (sympathetic and parasympathetic) activation, ischemia reperfusion, hemodynamic and metabolic derangements

Predisposing factors:

Atrial enlargement, heart failure, coronary artery disease, hypertension, age





### Preventative Strategies and Associated Evidence Base

- Correct electrolytes ..K , Mg
- Beta blockers—any BB
- Amiodarone
- Ranolazine
- Colchicine
- Statin-preexisting indication
- Fish oil
- Weak evidence(do not support) Vitamin C/E, N-Acetylcysteine, Levosimandan, NSIADS, Steroid



# Surgical Manipulations

- Posterior Pericardiotomy
- Epicardial Fat Pad Manipulations
- Anterior Fat Pad Preservation vs Dissection or Removal
- Fat Pad Botulinum Toxin Injection
- Off-pump Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting
- Concomitant Surgical Ablation



# How to treat POAF?



# **Treatment Strategies**

- Rate control ( CCB , BB , digoxin )
- Electrical cardioversion ( with anti-arrhythmic Rx)
- Pharmacological cardioversion- Amiodarone, Vernakalant, Ibutilide
- Anticoagulation- Heparin ,LMWH ,NOACs
- AF lasting more than 48 hrs or of unknown duration, TEE should be carried out to exclude the presence of any intracardiac thrombus.



### Rate control in acute HF (with or without HF)





### Rate control in acute HF (with or without HF)





## Rhythm control



# ACS and CAD





# Dual therapy in CAD

υ,



combination

combination



# Guidelines- 2019 EACTA

Preventing Perioperative AF in Cardiac Surgical Patients



#### <u>Risk Factors for</u> Perioperative AF

- Age > 75
- History of AF
- Renal Failure
- Mitral valve surgery/ disease
- Heart Failure
- COPD

There may be other important risk factors to consider in any individual patient

#### Treating Perioperative AF in Cardiac Surgical Patients

Nondihydropyridine CCB or  $\beta\text{-blocker}$  for rate control LOE B

Electrical or chemical (e.g. - amiodarone) cardioversion if hemodynamic instability LOE B

Amiodarone for rhythm control LOE B

Consider anticoagulation when AF duration >48 hours LOE B



European Association of Cardiothoracic Anaesthesiologists



# Guidelines- 2019 SCA





### 2020 ESC -Post operative Cardiac/Non-cardiac surgery

	Recommendations				
	Perioperative amiodarone or beta blocker ther- apy is recommended for the prevention of post- operative AF after cardiac surgery. <sup>1390,1492</sup>	I.	A		
Should be cons	Long- idered S should be considered in patients at risk stroke with postoperative AF after non-cardiac surgery, considering the anticipated net clinical benefit of OAC therapy and informed patien preferences. <sup>1404,1405,1408,1409</sup>	lla	в		
may be conside	Long-1 DAC therapy to prevent thrombo- red may be considered in patients at rISK TO KE with postoperative AF after car- diac su sery, considering the anticipated net clin- ical benefit of OAC therapy and informed patient preferences. <sup>1404,1405,1408,1409</sup>	ШЬ	в		



## Conclusions

- Post operative AF increased stroke (short and long term).
- Post operative AF increased heart failure.
- Recurrent AF is likely.
- Oral anticoagulant/ LMWH may play a significant role.
- Beta blockers are recommended.
- Amiodarone is the the initial rhythm or rate control.
- CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>VAC consideration is mandatory.